



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,787	09/26/2001	Preeti Lal	PF-0356-3 DIV	5251

7590 05/01/2002

INCYTE GENOMICS, INC.
PATENT DEPARTMENT
3160 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304

EXAMINER

SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1652	8

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/840,787	LAL ET AL.
	Examiner Elizabeth Slobodyansky	Art Unit 1652

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 2-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 .

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed February 26, 2002 (Paper No. 7) canceling claims 1 and 15-20 and amending claims 2-4 has been entered.

Claims 2-14 are pending.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 2-14, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 2-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility.

Applicants disclose a nucleic acid sequences (SEQ ID NO: 68) encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 19 (HRM-19). The specification teaches that SEQ

Art Unit: 1652

ID NO:19 that is 351 amino acids in length and has one potential mitochondrial motif, P₃₁LDVVVKVRL. It further discloses that HRM-19 has sequence homology with C. elegans C16C10 (g577542) and is found in cDNA libraries associated with cell proliferation, cancer and immune response (page 18, lines 24-28). The specification describes generic functions for the protein and the nucleic acid encoding thereof. The utility of the nucleic acid is said to be used in a method to detect expression of a nucleic acid in a sample and to recombinantly make the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 19 which neither the gene nor the polypeptide are associated with a specific use. The specification does not assert any specific utility for HRM-19 and provides no additional evidence that HRM-19 has any specific function. The sequence search performed by PTO shows that SEQ ID NO:19 has about 35% homology with a C. elegans putative mitochondrial carrier C16C10. It is nearly impossible from sequence homology alone to attribute a specific and substantial function for the protein. There is no additional data to support any specific function. Such data would include the number of the specific domains associated with said function, and location of highly conserved charge-pairs, for example. Even accepting the plausible utility of HRM-19 being a mitochondrial carrier, one of ordinary skill in the art would not know which compound is a substrate for the carrier. Humans produce many mitochondrial carriers and each mitochondrial carrier is expected to have a specific substrate(s) and function. The art teaches that there are many mitochondrial carriers that import various metabolites,

Art Unit: 1652

nucleotides, cofactors and compounds which are not synthesized in mitochondria. They have several repetitive elements in the primary structure (Palmieri, pages 48 and 49). Therefore, as disclosed, a protein of SEQ ID NO:19 is an uncharacterized protein with no known function.

Furthermore, for a method of detection of a nucleic acid in a sample to be useful, one must know the biological significance of the polypeptide(s) which is(are) being detected. Without this information, the results of the expression profile are useless because one would not know if the polypeptide expression should be increased or decreased or even what significance could be attributed to such changes in expression profiles. Without this knowledge, which could not be gleaned from the instant specification as filed, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made would not have been able to use the information obtained from an expression profile in a useful manner. There is no evidence to the contrary.

Claims 13 and 14 are drawn to a method for diagnosing an unspecified disease and cancer or immune response, respectively.

Neither the specification nor the art of record disclose any specific disease or conditions that can be diagnosed using a DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:19. There is no indication that increasing or decreasing the expression of HRM-19 would have any use in diagnosing any diseases. Therefore, diagnosing of an unspecified, undisclosed disease or condition or cancer or immune response would require or constitute carrying

Art Unit: 1652

out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a disease that can be diagnosed using a DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:19. With regard to diagnosis of disease, in order for a polynucleotide to be useful, as asserted, for diagnosis of a disease, there must be a well-established or disclosed correlation or relationship between the claimed polypeptide and a disease or disorder. The presence of a polypeptide/polynucleotide in tissue that is derived from some cancer cells is not sufficient for establishing a utility in diagnosis of disease in the absence of some information regarding a correlative or causal relationship between the expression of the claimed polypeptide and the disease. If a molecule is to be used as a surrogate for a disease state, some disease state must be identified in some way with the molecule. There must be some expression pattern that would allow the claimed polynucleotide to be used in a diagnostic manner. Many proteins are expressed in normal tissues and diseased tissues. Therefore, one needs to know, e.g., that the claimed polynucleotide is either present only in cancer tissue to the exclusion of normal tissue or is expressed in higher levels in a specific diseased tissue compared to normal tissue (i.e. overexpression). Evidence of a differential expression might serve as a basis for use of the claimed polynucleotide as a diagnostic for a disease. However, in the absence of any disclosed relationship between the claimed polynucleotide and any disease or disorder and the lack of any correlation between the claimed polynucleotide with any known disease or disorder, any

Art Unit: 1652

information obtained from an expression profile would only serve as the basis for further research on the observation itself.

Therefore, it appears that the main utility of the polypeptide and nucleic acid is to carry out further research to identify the biological function and possible diseases associated with said function. Substantial utility defines a "real world" use. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utility. In view of the above, a DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:19 and methods of use thereof have no specific, substantial and well-established utility.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-14 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1652

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kobayashi et al. teach the connection between a gene encoding a putative mitochondrial protein and type II citrullinaemia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky whose telephone number is (703) 306-3222. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.



Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD
Primary Examiner

April 23, 2002